



du mercredi 12 au vendredi 14 juin 2024



Best of Mycobactériose

LORENZO GUGLIELMETTI











Déclarations d'intérêt (2014-2023)

Affiliation à un laboratoire de recherche qui a reçu des financements de la part de:

- J&J
- TB Alliance
- Viatris







Tuberculosis (TB)

- Treatment
 - DS-TB (RIFASHORT, SimpliciTB)
 - HR-TB (INHindsight)
 - o RR-TB (TB-PRACTECAL & BPaLM availability)
 - Meningitis (Dexamethasone in HIV-positive)
- Prevention
 - RR-TB (V-QUIN & TB-CHAMP)

RIFASHORT trial



Published August 22, 2023 NEJM Evid 2023; 2 (9) DOI: 10.1056/EVID0a2300054

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Four-Month High-Dose Rifampicin Regimens for Pulmonary Tuberculosis

Amina Jindani, M.D.,¹ Daniel Atwine, Ph.D.,^{2,3} Daniel Grint, Ph.D.,⁴ Boubacar Bah, M.D.,⁵ Jack Adams, B.Sc.,¹

- Open-label, Phase 3, randomized, controlled, noninferiority trail
- 672 DS-TB patients: a) HRZE 6 months, b) HRZE 4 months with R 1200 mg/d (SR1) or c) HRZE 4 months with R 1800 mg/d (SR2)
- Noninferiority margin: 8%; sequence of ordered tests starting with SR2.

Table 2. Primary and Key Secondary Outcome Analyses.*									
mITT-M Primary Analysis Assessable Outcomes	Control (n=187)	Study Regimen 1 (n=186)	Study Regimen 2 (n=186)						
Favorable									
Participants with outcome — no. (%)	174 (93.0)	167 (89.8)	161 (86.6)						
Unfavorable									
Participants with outcome — no. (%)	13 (7.0)	19 (10.2)	25 (13.4)						
Adjusted percentage point difference from control (90% CI)		3.1 (–1.6 to 7.9)	6.3 (1.1 to 11.5)						

Table 3. Laboratory-Defined and Clinical Adverse Events According to Treatment Group.*									
Participants Experiencing	Control (n=224)	Study Regimen 1 (n=223)	Study Regimen 2 (n=225)						
Primary safety outcome									
Grade 3 or 4 adverse event — no. (%)	9 (4.0)	10 (4.5)	10 (4.4)						
Percentage point difference from control (95% CI)		0.5 (-3.3 to 4.2)	0.4 (-3.3 to 4.2)						
Secondary safety outcome									
Grade 1–4 adverse event — no. (%)	120 (53.6)	109 (48.9)	115 (51.1)						
Percentage point difference from control (95% CI)		-4.7 (-13.9 to 4.6)	-2.5 (-11.7 to 6.8)						

- Four-month high-dose rifampicin regimens
 not non-inferior to the 6-month standard
 regimen
- High-dose rifampicin well tolerated

SimpliciTB trial

www.thelancet.com/infection Published online May 17, 2024 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(24)00223-8

Bedaquiline-pretomanid-moxifloxacin-pyrazinamide for drug-sensitive and drug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis treatment: a phase 2c, open-label, multicentre, partially randomised controlled trial

Muge Cevik, Lindsay C Thompson, Caryn Upton, Valéria Cavalcanti Rolla, Mookho Malahleha, Blandina Mmbaga, Nosipho Ngubane,

- Partially-randomised, controlled, Phase 2c, openlabel clinical trial
- 455 participants, including 303 DS-TB: 4 months of BPaMZ (n=150) or 6 months of HRZE (n=153)
- **BPaMZ did not meet the key efficacy endpoint** due to adverse events resulting in treatment withdrawal
- These results **confirm the liver toxicity of** the **PaMZ** combination (STAND trial)







INHindsight trial

American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine Volume 201 Number 11 | June 1 2020

Early Bactericidal Activity of Different Isoniazid Doses for Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis (INHindsight): A Randomized, Open-Label Clinical Trial

Kelly E. Dooley¹, Sachiko Miyahara², Florian von Groote-Bidlingmaier³, Xin Sun², Richard Hafner⁴,

- Phase 2a early bactericidal activity (EBA) trial
- Smear-positive pulmonary TB
- First stage (2020): 43 HR-TB with inhA mutation, treated with H 5 mg/kg (n=13), H 10 mg/kg (n=14), or H 15 mg/kg (n=16), compared to 16 DS-TB controls.
- Second stage (2024): 21 HR-TB with katG mutation treated with H 15 or 20 mg/kg.

Treatment

> Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2024 Apr 2. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202311-2004OC. Online ahead of print.

High-Dose Isoniazid Lacks EARLY Bactericidal Activity Against Isoniazid-resistant Tuberculosis Mediated by katG Mutations: A Randomized, Phase 2 Clinical Trial

Kamunkhwala Gausi ¹², Elisa H Ignatius ³, Veronique De Jager ⁴, Caryn Upton ⁵, Soyeon Kim ⁶,

- H 10–15 mg/kg daily had similar activity against HR-TB with inhA mutations to H 5 mg/kg on DS-TB
- H 20 mg/kg had no EBA on HR-TB with katG mutations, except in a subset of slow acetylators



BPaLM for RR-TB treatment



СМ

AND INFECTIO

🔆 ESCMID 🗄

www.thelancet.com/respiratory Vol 12 February 2024

Short oral regimens for pulmonary rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis (TB-PRACTECAL): an open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 2B-3, multi-arm, multicentre, non-inferiority trial

Bern-Thomas Nyang'wa, Catherine Berry, Emil Kazounis, Ilaria Motta, Nargiza Parpieva, Zinaida Tigay, Ronelle Moodliar, Matthew Dodd,

- Final results of the multi-arm, multi-stage Phase 2/3 randomized controlled open-label trial
- Confirms **non-inferiority of 6-month BPaLM** (N=151) compared to long, individualized treatment (N=152)
- BPaLM also better tolerated
- Confirms 2022 WHO recommendations



Research Note

Availability of drugs and resistance testing for bedaquiline, pretomanid, linezolid, and moxifloxacin (BPaL(M)) regimen for rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis in Europe

Gunar Günther ^{1, 2, x}, Lorenzo Guglielmetti ^{3, 4, x}, Yousra Kherabi ^{5, 6}, Raquel Duarte ^{7, 8, 9, 10},

Representatives from 44/54 countries of the WHO Europe region





Dexamethasone in HIV-positive TB meningitis



in HIV-Positive Adults

Joseph Donovan, Ph.D., Nguyen D. Bang, Ph.D., Darma Imran, M.D., Ho D.T. Nghia, Ph.D., Erlina Burhan, Ph.D.,

- Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, Phase III trial
- 520 adults with **TB meningitis** treated with HRZE plus **dexamethasone** (IV + oral) or placebo
- 51.9% presented with a CD4 <50, 49.0% had not previously received ART



- The addition of dexamethasone did not reduce 12-month all-cause mortality
- One fourth of the participants received openlabel glucocorticoids during the trial
- Study may be underpowererd to detect difference and/or benefit may be higher in specific subgroups (i.e. higher inflammation)



Preventive treatment for RR-TB contacts

TRIAL DESIGN

active arm		6	5Le	eve	ofle	oxa	cir	۱				
Control arm			5PI	lac	ek	00						
Screening Phase		Treatment Phase				Follow-up Phase						
Months	(0	1	2	3	4	5	6	12	18	24	30
Days	()	30	60	90	120	150	180	365	540	720	900
Visit no1	(D	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

• V-QUIN & TB-CHAMP

- Phase III, double-blind, randomized, placebocontrolled trials
- 2041 TST-positive **adult** (V-QUIN) and 927 **children** (TB-CHAMP) household contacts of RR-TB patients
- Intervention: Levofloxacin (Lfx) daily for 6 months



- Efficacy: meta-analysis of the studies shows 60% overall reduction of incident cases
- Safety: more grade 1-2 (not 3/4) AEs in adults, well tolerated in children
- \circ $\,$ No selection of drug resistance reported $\,$
- Lfx prophylaxis recommended by WHO for all contacts of RR-TB cases

TB



Non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM)

- Treatment
 - \circ $\,$ Treatment duration and long-term survival in MAC-PD $\,$
 - Clofazimine vs rifampicin in MAC-PD regimens



Treatment duration & MAC-PD mortality

Clinical Infectious Diseases

MAJOR ARTICLE

NTM

CID 2023:77 (1 July)

Impact of Treatment on Long-Term Survival of Patients With *Mycobacterium avium* Complex Pulmonary Disease Joong-Yub Kim,¹² Yunhee Choi,³ JiWon Park,³ Jin Mo Goo,⁴ Taek Soo Kim,⁵ Moon-Woo Seong,⁵ Nakwon Kwak,¹² and Jae-Joon Yim¹²

- Retrospective cohort of 486 adult patients treated for MAC-PD
- Treatment exposure divided into four time intervals: <6, ≥6 to <12, ≥12 to <18, and ≥18 months.
- Time-varying multivariable Cox proportional hazards models for all-cause mortality

 Table 3.
 Time-Varying Multivariable Cox Proportional Hazards Model of Survival by Treatment Duration in Patients With Mycobacterium avium Complex

 Pulmonary Disease

		aHR (95% CI) by Treatment Duration									
Patients, No.	<6 m	6–12 m	P Value	12–18 m	P Value	≥18 m	P Value	P Value for Trend			
486	Reference	0.50 (.22–1.18)	.11	0.54 (.24–1.21)	.13	0.32 (.15–.71)	.005	.007			
266	Reference	1.05 (.25–4.41)	.95	0.46 (.11–1.92)	.29	0.79 (.24–2.58)	.70	.61			
220	Reference	0.30 (.09–.995)	.049	0.49 (.16–1.55)	.22	0.17 (.05–.57)	.004	.008			
379	Reference	0.48 (.16–1.43)	.19	0.51 (.18–1.41)	.19	0.40 (.16–.98)	.045	.06			
107	Reference	0.53 (.10–2.73)	.45	0.17 (.03–1.10)	.06	0.13 (.02–.84)	.03	.04			
	486 266 220 379	486 Reference 266 Reference 220 Reference 379 Reference	Patients, No. <6 m 6–12 m 486 Reference 0.50 (.22–1.18) 266 Reference 1.05 (.25–4.41) 220 Reference 0.30 (.09–.995) 379 Reference 0.48 (.16–1.43)	Patients, No. <6 m 6–12 m P Value 486 Reference 0.50 (.22–1.18) .11 266 Reference 1.05 (.25–4.41) .95 220 Reference 0.30 (.09–.995) .049 379 Reference 0.48 (.16–1.43) .19	Patients, No. <6 m 6–12 m P Value 12–18 m 486 Reference 0.50 (.22–1.18) .11 0.54 (.24–1.21) 266 Reference 1.05 (.25–4.41) .95 0.46 (.11–1.92) 220 Reference 0.30 (.09–.995) .049 0.49 (.16–1.55) 379 Reference 0.48 (.16–1.43) .19 0.51 (.18–1.41)	Patients, No. <6 m 6–12 m P Value 12–18 m P Value 486 Reference 0.50 (.22–1.18) .11 0.54 (.24–1.21) .13 266 Reference 1.05 (.25–4.41) .95 0.46 (.11–1.92) .29 220 Reference 0.30 (.09–.995) .049 0.49 (.16–1.55) .22 379 Reference 0.48 (.16–1.43) .19 0.51 (.18–1.41) .19	Patients, No. <6 m 6–12 m P Value 12–18 m P Value ≥18 m 486 Reference 0.50 (.22–1.18) .11 0.54 (.24–1.21) .13 0.32 (.15–.71) 266 Reference 1.05 (.25–4.41) .95 0.46 (.11–1.92) .29 0.79 (.24–2.58) 220 Reference 0.30 (.09–.995) .049 0.49 (.16–1.55) .22 0.17 (.05–.57) 379 Reference 0.48 (.16–1.43) .19 0.51 (.18–1.41) .19 0.40 (.16–.98)	Patients, No. <6 m 6–12 m P Value 12–18 m P Value ≥18 m P Value 486 Reference 0.50 (.22–1.18) .11 0.54 (.24–1.21) .13 0.32 (.15–.71) .005 266 Reference 1.05 (.25–4.41) .95 0.46 (.11–1.92) .29 0.79 (.24–2.58) .70 220 Reference 0.30 (.09–.995) .049 0.49 (.16–1.55) .22 0.17 (.05–.57) .004 379 Reference 0.48 (.16–1.43) .19 0.51 (.18–1.41) .19 0.40 (.16–.98) .045			

Long-term antimicrobial treatment should be considered in patients with progressive MAC-PD, especially in the presence of cavities or positive sputum smears.



Clofazimine (Cfz) vs rifampicin (R) in MAC-PD

≋ CHEST [165 # 5 CHEST MAY 2024]

Safety and Efficacy of Clofazimine as an Alternative for Rifampicin in *Mycobacterium avium* Complex Pulmonary Disease Treatment Outcomes of a Randomized Trial

Sanne M. H. Zweijpfenning, MD; Rob Aarnoutse, PharmD; Martin J. Boeree, MD; Cecile Magis-Escurra, MD, PhD; Ralf Stemkens, PharmD; Bram Geurts, MD; Jakko van Ingen, MD, and Wouter Hoefsloot, MD, PhD

- Open-label, randomized, controlled Phase III clinical trial
- Macrolide, ethambutol + R (n=19) or Cfz (n=21)
- Study discontinuation similar (26% vs 33%)
- Safety: diarrhea more frequent in Cfz arm, arthralgia more frequent in R arm; no difference in QT prolongation



- Clofazimine-ethambutol-macrolide showed similar efficacy to standard regimen
- Individual patient characteristics and interactions to be considered to choose MAC-PD regimen
- o (underpowered: initial sample size 124)



NTM



MERCI Questions?









Rifamycins in MAC-PD treatment



Eur Respir J 2024; 63: 2302210

Rifampicin has no role in treatment of *Mycobacterium avium* complex pulmonary disease and bactericidal sterilising drugs are needed: a viewpoint

Jakko van Ingen ¹, Wouter Hoefsloot ², Véronique Dartois^{3,4} and Thomas Dick^{3,4,5}



- the role of rifampicin in the treatment of MAC-PD is questionable and not supported by
- PK-PD science; its in vitro activity is low, PK-PD targets cannot be attained by safe and tolerable doses
- o and preclinical (as well as clinical) studies suggest that it does not add any activity to the ethambutol/
- o azithromycin backbone and does not prevent the emergence of macrolide resistance. Its negative
- o pharmacokinetic interactions with macrolides are another important reason to reconsider its place in
- <u>treatment regimens.</u>

NTM

